

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 1001.1524102 8402 Brian Reynolds 02/28/2002 10/086,992 EXAMINER 11/30/2004 28075 MARMOR II, CHARLES ALAN CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC 1221 NICOLLET AVENUE PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT SUITE 800 3736 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/086,	,992	REYNOLDS ET AL.		
•	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit		
			A. Marmor, II	3736		
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on t	he cover sheet with	the correspondence address		
Period fo	• •	OD DEDLY 10 CET	TO EVOIDE A MOI	NTU(S) EDOM		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum st are to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. so) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and until by statute cause the a	event, however, may a repl statutory minimum of thirty (3 d will expire SIX (6) MONTH application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communic IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation,	
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>03 Se<i>ptemb</i>e</u>	<u>r 2004</u> .			
2a)□		2b)⊠ This action is				
3)[3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the pract	ice under Ex parte (Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-50 and 52-58</u> is/are pend	ding in the application	on.			
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-50 and 52-58</u> is/are reject	cted.				
7)[Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election	n requirement.			
Applica	tion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e: a) accepted or	b) objected to by	y the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is rec	uired if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	to by the Examiner.	Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-13	3∠.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	n for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
) All b) Some * c) None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority					
	2. Certified copies of the priority	y documents have t	peen received in Ap	plication No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies			eceived in this National Stag	je	
	application from the Internati			t al		
*	See the attached detailed Office acti	ion for a list of the c	entitied copies not r	eceivea.		
i						
Attachma	ant(s)					
Attachme	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)			ummary (PTO-413)		
2) No	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	(PTO-948)	Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Inf	/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)	
3) ⊠ Info Pai	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o per No(s)/Mail Date <u>05042004; 09132004</u> .	or P10/58/08)	6) Other:			

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed September 3, 2004. The Examiner acknowledges the amendments to claims 5 (first occurrence), 35, 43, 47 and 54, as well as the cancellation of claims 5 (second occurrence) and 51. Claims 1-50 and 52-58 are currently pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 43-50, 52 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rooney et al. ('105). Rooney et al. teach a guidewire including a core wire (20) having a proximal portion and a distal portion; a coil (30) having a proximal region and a distal region; and an outer structure disposed about at least a portion of the core wire and the coil. The core (20), including the distal portion thereof, may be formed of a linear elastic nickel titanium alloy (col. 3, lines 37-39). The proximal region of the coil is connected to the distal portion of the core wire and the distal region of the coil extending distally beyond the distal portion of the core wire (Fig. 2). The outer structure may be a polymer sheath (40) that extends distally beyond the distal

Art Unit: 3736

portion of the core wire and the distal region of the coil to form a tip. The outer structure may also include an outer coil disposed around the coil (col. 4, lines 1-13). The coils may be flat wire coils or round wire coils (col. 2, line 50). The coil may act as a safety structure. The core is wrapped around the entire length of the core which may include a tapered section (col. 3, lines 52-54). Although the coil is "preferably" not wrapped around the tapered core, this statement implies that the coil may be wrapped around the tapered core, in which case the coil would taper in a distal direction such that the coil would have a first outer diameter that differs from a second outer diameter.

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 1-13, 16, 20-28, 30 and 33-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eder et al. (EP 0 806 220 A2) in view of Sahatjian et al. ('004).

Eder et al. teach a guidewire having a proximal section (176), a distal section (174)

Art Unit: 3736

formed of a superelastic nickel titanium alloy, and a connector (178) disposed about the proximal end of the distal section. See Figure 5A and column 8, lines 2-18. Butt joints are illustrated in Figures 3 and 6. A connector material (156) is illustrated in Figure 3. A polymer sleeve is placed on the guidewire (col. 2, lines 5-10 and col. 5, lines 19-23). Eder et al. teach all of the limitations of the claims except that the distal section of the guidewire is formed of a linear elastic nickel titanium alloy.

Sahatjian et al. teach that linear elastic nickel titanium alloys and superelastic nickel titanium alloys are known to be interchangeable as materials for forming a core of a guidewire. Sahatjian et al. teach that linear elastic alloys are advantageous because it is highly flexible yet stiff enough to resist kinking and can be shape-set for ease in steering the guidewire into a highly tortuous or desired curved passageway (Abstract and col. 6, lines 41-47).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time Applicant's invention was made to form the superelastic nickel titanium alloy distal section of a guidewire similar to that of Eder et al. of a linear elastic nickel titanium alloy as a design choice in view of the teachings of Sahatjian et al. in order to provide a guidewire that is highly flexible yet stiff enough to resist kinking and can be shape-set for ease in steering the guidewire into a highly tortuous or desired curved passageway.

6. Claims 14, 15, 17-19, 29, 31, 32, 54 and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eder et al. (EP 0 806 220 A2) in view of Sahatjian et al. ('004) as applied to claims 1, 12, 13, 16, 25, 28 and 30 above, and further in view of Gambale et al. ('959). Eder et al., as modified by Sahatjian et al. hereinabove, teach all of the limitations of the claims except

Art Unit: 3736

for the specifics of the coil; and therefore, do not disclose a flat ribbon, distally extending coil or an inner coil. However, Gambale et al teach that these features are well known in the art. See Figures 1-5. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use coils similar to those of Gambale et al with the guidewire of Eder et al, since Eder et al., as modified by Sahatjian et al., teach a guidewire with a coil and Gambale et al disclose that such guidewire-coil configurations are advantageous in order to provide a guidewire with an atraumatic distal end.

7. Claims 54-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Richardson et al. ('025) in view of Eder et al. (EP 0 806 220 A2), and further in view of Sahatjian et al. ('004).

Richardson et al discloses guidewire with a polymer sheath that is disposed around at least a portion of a core and coil. The coil extends distally beyond the distal portion of the core wire. See Figs. 17, 20, 23, 26, 29 and 32. Richardson et al do not teach a connector. Eder et al disclose a guidewire comprising distal and proximal sections with a connector (178) disposed adjacent the ends for greater flexibility. See Fig 5A and col. 8, 11. 2-18. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time Applicant's invention was made to modify the guidewire of Richardson et al with the connector of Eder et al to achieve greater flexibility. The distal sections of both the core wires of Richardson et al. and Eder et al. are formed of superelastic alloys rather than linear elastic nickel titanium alloys as claimed.

Sahatjian et al. teach that linear elastic nickel titanium alloys and superelastic nickel titanium alloys are known to be interchangeable as materials for forming a core of a guidewire.

Art Unit: 3736

Sahatjian et al. teach that linear elastic alloys are advantageous because it is highly flexible yet stiff enough to resist kinking and can be shape-set for ease in steering the guidewire into a highly tortuous or desired curved passageway (Abstract and col. 6, lines 41-47).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time Applicant's invention was made to form the superelastic nickel titanium alloy distal section of a guidewire similar to that of Richardson et al., as modified by Eder et al. hereinabove, of a linear elastic nickel titanium alloy as a design choice in view of the teachings of Sahatjian et al. in order to provide a guidewire that is highly flexible yet stiff enough to resist kinking and can be shape-set for ease in steering the guidewire into a highly tortuous or desired curved passageway.

8. Claim 58 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Richardson et al. in view of Eder et al. and Sahatjian et al. as applied to claim 54 above, and futher in view of Sepetka et al. ('047). Richardson et al., as modified by Eder et al. and Sahatjian et al. hereinabove, teach a guidewire as recited for claim 54. The combination does not teach a flat wire coil. Sepetka et al. teach a flat wire coil for better attachment to the distal end of the core. See col. 6, lines 10-18 and Figure 1. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time Applicant's invention was made to modify the guidewire of Richardson et al, Eder et al, and Sahatjian et al. with the flat wire coil of Sepetka et al. for better attachment of the coil to the core.

Art Unit: 3736

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-58 have been considered but are moot in 9. view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant contends that Eder et al.; Richardson et al.; Gambale et al.; Sepetka et al. and Morrison et al. all fail to teach or suggest a guidewire having at least a distal section formed of linear elastic nickel titanium alloy. This argument is moot in view of the new grounds of rejection, citing at least one of Rooney et al. and Sahatjian et al., set forth hereinabove.

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 10. disclosure. Abrams et al. ('570) teach a superelastic guiding member including a proximal section, a distal section and a connector therebetween. Eder et al. ('637) teach a composite guidewire including a proximal section, a distal section and a connector therebetween. Rooney et al. ('599) teach a guidewire having a core that is formed of either a superelastic nickel titanium alloy or a linear elastic nickel titanium alloy.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 11. examiner should be directed to Charles A. Marmor, II whose telephone number is (571) 272-4730. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH (7:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on (571) 272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3736

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Charles A. Marmor, II Primary Examiner Art Unit 3736 Page 8

cam

November 23, 2004